Massively Parallel 2

Eva Rotenberg

- Let T be a minimum spanning tree in some graph G.
- A *T*-fragment is a connected subgraph of *T*.
- Idea: build T by iteratively concatenating fragments.
- Beginning: Each point is a fragment.
- Step: For each fragment X, let e = (x, y) be the cheapest edge between X and G \ X. Use e, combine X with Y (y ∈ Y).
- Analysis:
 - Correct? The cheapest edge of a cut belongs to an MST.
 - How many steps? After *i* iterations, each fragment $\geq 2^i$ vertices.

Question: Can we use this idea to compute spanning trees in Congest? Can we use this idea to compute spanning trees in parallel?

Massively parallel minimum spanning tree computation

• Graph G has N vertices and M edges,

•
$$S = \sqrt{N}$$
 (small), $P = \tilde{O}(M/S)$ (many).

Challenges with implementing Borůvka?

- Representing the state
- Implement one "step" in constant many rounds

Representing the state: Represent each fragment by, say, lowest ID node in fragment.

Machine storing edge uv should be able to find fragment of u and of v. Note: many machines.

Two hints for parallel Borůvka

• Challenge: In one step, newly joining edges and their components may form a long chain.

 $X \to Y \to Z \to \dots$

To avoid this, use randomisation:

- Every fragment chooses a random colour (yellow, green)
- A smallest edge is only 'valid' if it goes from yellow to green.
- In each round, add only 'valid' edges.
- Probability 1/4 an edge is valid; slows down by a constant factor.
- Now, the green fragment coordinates the merge with (possibly many) yellow fragments.
- Challenge: A fragment does not fit into one machine, and the number of edges it receives even less so.
 - Build aggregation trees: $\sqrt[4]{N}$ -ary rooted trees;
 - Edges arrive at the leaves and are filtered towards the root.
 - Filtering: Only the smallest edge is relevant.

Graph sketching (sketching cuts, connectivity)

- $S = \tilde{O}(N)$, P_0 coordinates.
- Warm-up: an edge from a cut.
- Assume you have a graph G and a subset A of the vertices of G.
- Every vertex knows whether it itself is in *A*, and knows the names of its edges *vu*.
- Find an edge crossing the cut from A to not-A?
- What if the cut is one edge?Every vertex of A sends xor of their edges to P₀. Then P₀ xors those and gets name of edge.
- What if there are between k/2 and k edges crossing the cut?Use predefined hashing function to sample with probability 1/k.
- Note: should be coordinated! Vertices *u* and *v* either both sample or not-sample *uv*
- Expect 1/2 to 1 edge across the cut to be sampled. With constant probability, we have sampled exactly one edge across the cut.

Challenge: Did we succeed?

Idea: if we know how many edges cross a cut, we can use coordinated sampling to find such an edge with constant probability.

Challenge: did we succeed?

Idea: Name of edge uv is u, v, R_{uv} ,

where R_{uv} is a random string of $\Theta(\log n)$ bits (say, 80 log n), each bit is 1 with probability 1/8.

Then the number of 1-bits is highly concentrated around its expected value, (less than $14 \ln n$ w.h.p)

and because the 1s are so sparse, it is very likely that if we xor two $R_e \neq R_{e'}$ the result has many more 1s. (more than 14 ln *n* w.h.p) if we xor even more we get even closer to half of the bits being 1. Details: exercise.

Setup: $S = \tilde{O}(N)$ and P_0 coordinates. Wish to find spanning tree. We can detect cut of size ca. k (e.g. k/2 to k) with constant probability. Repeat log n times to get high probability. Repeat for log n guesses for k: 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, etc.

• Borůvka? (log *n* rounds.)

Use cut-sketching to find an edge crossing from fragment to rest-of-graph.

Every vertex samples $\log n \cdot \log n \cdot \log n$ edges. (That is, $\log^4 n$ bits) Send those to P_0 , then P_0 can simulate entire Borůvka and get a spannning tree.